

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,475	03/26/2004	Michael W. Frauens	H10317/DPS	9797
	7590 06/21/2007 DAK COMPANY		EXAMINER	
PATENT LEG	AL STAFF		LABOMBARD, RUTH NAOMI	
343 STATE STREET ROCHESTER, NY 14650-2201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,	,		2852	
				DEL MEDY MODE
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

- 1		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/810,475	FRAUENS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	·	Ruth N. LaBombard	2852			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period fo						
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DYNAMING OF THE MAILING OF	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•				
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 April 2007</u> .					
• ——	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) 🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,7-12 and 23-50</u> is/are pending in the application.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>31-44</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,7-12,23-30 and 45-50</u> is/are rejected.					
•	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 April 2007</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
			•			
Attachmer	nt(s)		•			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		5) Notice of Informal F				
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2852

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. It is noted that the amendments filed on 4/13/07 have been made based on the claims as presented prior to the non-responsive amendments filed on 1/16/07; this has been accepted in order to expedite prosecution.

Objections

2. The objections to the drawings and the claims in the Office action mailed on 10/17/07 have been withdrawn in light of the amendments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 5, 7, 10-12, 23 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Desie et al. (US 6,246,424 B1) in view of Kaukeinen et al. (JP 07043978 A).
- 5. Prior to the amendments Desie et al. ('424) read on claims 1-3, 5, 7, 10-12, 23 and 50. Since then, the claims have been amended to include a "rotating magnetic core" that Desie et al. ('424), as presented in the previous Office action, fails to disclose. Desie et al. ('424) disclose preferentially using a stationary magnetic core (column 11, lines 23-25) but does not provide a reason that this arrangement is preferred.
- 6. Kaukeinen et al. ('978) disclose using a rotating magnetic core, in a developing device, in order to develop a high-density image free from scavenging.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,475

Art Unit: 2852

- 7 It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify Desie et al. ('424) to include a rotating magnetic core, as disclosed by Kaukeinen et al. ('978), in order to develop a high-density image free from scavenging.
- 8. Claims 1, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Costrop et al. (US 5,848,339) in view of Kaukeinen et al. (JP 07043978 A).
- 9. Prior to the amendments Costrop et al. ('339) read on claims 1, 8 and 9. Since then, the claims have been amended to include a "rotating magnetic core" that Costrop et al. ('339), as presented in the previous Office action, does not specifically disclose.
- 10. Kaukeinen et al. ('978) disclose using a rotating magnetic core, in a developing device, in order to develop a high-density image free from scavenging.
- 11. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify Costrop et al. ('339) to include a rotating magnetic core, as disclosed by Kaukeinen et al. ('978), in order to develop a high-density image free from scavenging.
- 12. Claims 23-27 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rushing (US 6,671,052 B1) in view of Kaukeinen et al. (JP 07043978 A).
- 13. Prior to the amendments Rushing ('052) read on claims 23-27 and 30. Since then, the claims have been amended to include a "rotating magnetic core" that Rushing ('052), as presented in the previous Office action, does not specifically disclose.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,475

Art Unit: 2852

14. Kaukeinen et al. ('978) disclose using a rotating magnetic core, in a developing device, in order to develop a high-density image free from scavenging.

- 15. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify Rushing ('052) to include a rotating magnetic core, as disclosed by Kaukeinen et al. ('978), in order to develop a high-density image free from scavenging.
- 16. Claims 23, 28, 29 and 45-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakazato et al. (US 6,483,997 B1).
- 17. Prior to the amendments Nakazato et al. ('997) read on claims 23, 28, 29 and 45-
- 49. Since then, the claims have been amended to include a "rotating magnetic core" that Nakazato et al. ('997), as presented in the previous Office action, does not specifically disclose.
- 18. Kaukeinen et al. ('978) disclose using a rotating magnetic core, in a developing device, in order to develop a high-density image free from scavenging.
- 19. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify Nakazato et al. ('997) to include a rotating magnetic core, as disclosed by Kaukeinen et al. ('978), in order to develop a high-density image free from scavenging.

Response to Arguments

20. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 5, 7-12, 23-30 and 45-50 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,475 Page 5

Art Unit: 2852

21. Regarding the Desie et al. ('424) patent, applicants argue that Desie et al. ('424) "teaches away from the present invention" by not including 'toning stations.' Contrary to applicants' argument Desie et al. ('424) disclose toning rollers (1043, 1045) for applying a toner to a photoconductive drum 103. Any device that applies toner can be considered a 'toning station.'

Conclusion

22. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ruth N. LaBombard whose telephone number is (571)

Art Unit: 2852

272-6430. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30am - 4:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Gray can be reached on (571) 272-2119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/RNL/ 6/18/07

DAVID M. GRAY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER